

“This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. The final authenticated version is available online at:  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-016-1173-8>”

# Bruises in beef cattle at slaughter in Mexico: implications on quality, safety and shelf life of the meat

Rosy G. Cruz-Monterrosa<sup>1</sup> • Verónica Reséndiz-Cruz<sup>2</sup> • Armando A. Rayas-Amor<sup>1</sup> • Marcos López<sup>3</sup> • Genaro C. Miranda-de la Lama<sup>1</sup>

Genaro C. Miranda-de la Lama g.miranda@correo.ler.uam.mx

<sup>1</sup> Department of Food Science, Metropolitan Autonomous University, Lerma, State of Mexico, Mexico

<sup>2</sup> Genetic Resources and Livestock Productivity Program, Postgraduate College, Campus Montecillo, Texcoco, State of Mexico, Mexico

<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Metropolitan Autonomous University, Lerma, State of Mexico, Mexico

**Abstract** In emergent economies and developing countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America, the major cause for carcass rejection from the international market is bruising; nevertheless, many of these carcasses are destined to local markets and meat processing industries for human consumption. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the effect of bruised meat on pH, microbiologic count and biogenic amine (BA) profiles along 21 days of ageing (sampling 1st, 7th, 14th and 21st day) with two packaging method (plastic bag vs vacuum) at 4 °C. A total of 50 bruised carcasses were sampled from 1000 young bulls (Brown Swiss X Zebu) of 18–24 months old and an average live weight of  $450 \pm 66$  kg. The results showed significant differences between packaging systems and bruised vs non-bruised meat. The bruised meat caused higher biogenic amine concentrations than did non-bruised meat. We conclude that bruised meat favoured increments of biogenic amine concentrations, even more than did non-bruised meat. The plastic bag + vacuum system limited the increments of BA concentration during storage time therefore it improved shelf life of meat. These results emphasized the importance of implementing best management practices during pre-slaughter operations of cattle in order to reduce a possible risk factor for bruised meat.

## Introduction

Animal welfare is considered an important attribute of an overall ‘food quality concept’, and there is a growing realisation of a link between animal welfare and food safety (Miranda-de la Lama et al. 2014). Improper handling and transportation are also responsible for stress-induced meat quality problems, such as shrinkage of the carcass, higher pH, DFD meat and damage to the carcass through bruising (Chandra and Das, 2001). A bruise is a focal discolouration of the carcass surface, caused by an extra-vascular collection of blood and a trauma on the body caused by the impact of a blunt instrument (Strappini et al. 2009). Bruises are indicators for detecting basic pre-slaughter logistic chain failures, because they help to identify the source of problems, such as electric prod usage; projecting objects in facilities and trucks and animals falling, abusive stockman-ship, social mixing, rough edges or drop gates (Miranda-de la Lama et al., 2012). In developed countries, a high incidence of bruising in cattle has been observed in industrial slaughterhouses, e.g. Namibia (90 %; Hoffman and Lühl, 2012), Brazil (84 %; Andrade et al. 2008), Mexico (97 %; Miranda-de la Lama, et al. 2012), Uruguay (60 %; Huertas et al. 2015) and Colombia (37.5 %; Romero et al. 2013), although much lower rates have been reported in some countries with standards on animal welfare as Chile (9–21 %, Strappini et al. 2010).

The shelf life of fresh meat is influenced by stress during slaughter, packaging system, storage time and microbial growth (Li et al. 2014). Biogenic amines (BAs) are low-molecular-weight organic bases showing biological activity and could be used as indicators of shelf life in the meat

(Lorenzo et al. 2007). Formation and accumulation of BA in meat is the result of the enzymatic amino acid decarboxylation due to microbial enzymes and to tissue activity; therefore, the determination of these compounds is of a great interest, not only for their potential risk on human health but also because they could be considered indicators of meat quality and freshness, the BA being associated to the degree of food fermentation or degradation by microorganisms (Favaro et al. 2007). Biogenic amines are reported as heat-stable compounds and cooking or prolonged exposure to heat will not eliminate the toxin (Naila et al. 2010). The main BAs found on meat are putrescine, cadaverine, and histamine, and they could be used as indicators of *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas* spp. *Lactobacilli*, *Enterococci* and *Staphylococci* activity (Galgano et al. 2009).

Currently, in developed countries and some emergent economies, bruised carcasses are condemned under meat hygiene regulations (Hoffman and Lühl, 2012). However, the case of emergent economies and developing countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America, the major cause for carcass rejection from the international market is bruising; nevertheless, many of these carcasses are destined to meat processing industries and local markets for human consumption (Jibat et al. 2008; Miranda-de la Lama et al. 2012; Regassa et al. 2013). Previous research indicated that bruised beef was microbiologically and technologically sound and therefore suitable for use in processed meat products (Rogers et al. 1992; 1993). In this context, the use of bruised beef is common in minced meat and meat products due to low cost and easy availability of bruised meat. Whence, bruising are of vital interest not only in animal welfare and product quality perspective but also in One Health concept. Although it is generally accepted that bruises have a negative impact on the meat quality, there is no significant research that investigates the evolution of bacterial and biogenic amines in bruised carcass. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the effect of bruised meat on pH, microbiologic count and BA profiles along 21 days of ageing (sampling 1st, 7th, 14th and 21st day) with two packaging method (plastic bag vs vacuum) at 4 °C.

## Materials and methods

The study was carried out in the State of Mexico from September 2013 to April 2014. The samples were collected at a private slaughterhouse located at “La Paz” municipality (19° 21' 38" N, 98° 58' 48" W), at 2260 m. The climate is temperate and with mean annual temperature and rainfall of 15.9 °C and 686 mm, respectively. The slaughterhouse fulfilled the requirements of the Official Mexican Norms about animal care, humanitarian slaughter and slaughterhouse regulations (NOM-009-ZOO-1994; NOM-024-ZOO-1994;

NOM-030-ZOO-1995; NOM-033-ZOO-1995; NOM-194-SSA1-2004).

### Selection of bruised carcasses

A total of 50 carcasses were selected and sampled from 1000 inspected commercial young bulls (Brown Swiss X Zebu) of 18–24 months old and an average live weight of  $450 \pm 66$  kg. The animals were raised in farms and feedlots in the states of Queretaro, México and Morelos (Central Mexico). The average driving time from the farms or feedlots to the slaughterhouse was  $3.5 \pm 1.0$  h. The characteristics of the potbelly trailers did comply with the requirements of the Official Mexican Norms for animal transport. The trailers' characteristics were as follows: 16 t of capacity with aluminium rigid chassis of five compartments, passive ventilation and double deck. The timetable of the slaughterhouse was 600 to 1700 h (Monday to Saturday) with a slaughtering capacity of  $225 \pm 35$  animals/day at a rate of  $33 \pm 4$  animals/h. The concrete unloading ramps had nonslip floors that were about as wide as the livestock trailers and they were connected to a lairage area that had nonslip concrete floor and 70 m<sup>2</sup> of roof. Within the slaughterhouse, the animals from different livestock trailers were not mixed with other animals; therefore, the animals were housed in different pens that had access to water ad libitum but without feed. An electric goad was the instrument used to herd the animals during the stay in the slaughterhouse. A linear passageway starting from the lairage area guided them to the stunning area that did not have a head fixation system. Access to the box was through a guillotine door and a revolving iron exit door. The slaughtering method consisted in a stunning animal phase by means of a captive bolt gun (model USSS-1 JARVIS®); after that, the animals were suspended by a hind leg and then the animal's throat was cut with a very sharp knife in order to drain the blood immediately. Afterwards, the animals were transferred to the production line to begin the process of head separation, feet, skin and viscera and the quartering of the carcasses. The protocol for the post-mortem evaluation was based on the carcass bruising score proposed by Romero et al. (2013). Bruised carcasses for this study were selected according to causality only bruises originated in transport and pre-slaughter operations using four standards:

1. *Anatomical affected zone*: carcasses with bruises on their back and hip anatomical regions were selected at the primary meat inspection point.
2. *Bruise size*: Bruised area between 8 and 12 cm in diameter that did not present any sign of infection or abscess was selected for the study. The size of the bruises was selected based on experience of the slaughterhouse workers; the suggested criterion was that the inspectors normally not remove bruises between 6 and 12 cm.

3. *Bruise severity*: It was rated by the observer according to Romero et al. (2013): grade 1: subcutaneous tissue affected; grade 2 as grade 1, plus the muscle tissue affected; grade 3 as grades 1 and 2, plus the presence of broken bones. For this study, only carcasses of grade 2 were selected.

4. *Bruise colour*: the bruised samples were selected using the following criteria:  $L^* 26.34 \pm 5$ ,  $a^* 15.12 \pm 5$  and  $b^* 3.38 \pm 5$ . These measurements were carried out using a Hunter Lab colorimeter (model D25-PC2, Chroma Meter CR-200, Tokyo, Japan); the calibration was carried out using a white tile ( $L = 94.5$ ,  $a = 1.0$ ,  $b = 1.9$ ). The sample was rotated  $90^\circ$  after each reading; therefore, the average of four readings of each sample was presented.  $L$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  coordinates were transformed to polar coordinates:  $\text{hue} = \tan^{-1}(b/a)$  and  $\text{chroma} = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$ . These analyses were carried out in duplicate.

## Treatments

Two adjacent samples per carcass of approximately 400 g each (non-bruised and bruised) were collected at the cutting room of the slaughterhouse (Rogers et al. 1992). The samples were collected from the bruised and non-bruised areas using a destructive method, i.e. pieces of beef were removed from the muscles using aseptic techniques. All the samples were kept in sterile plastic bags and transferred to the Meat Laboratory keeping the cold chain. In the laboratory, each sample was divided in four pieces of 100 g and 2-cm thickness and the subsamples of bruised and non-bruised meat were packed using two systems, plastic bag (PB) and plastic bag + vacuum (PBV); therefore, the treatments were PB with bruised meat (PWB), PB with non-bruised meat (PNB), PBV with bruised meat (VWB), and PBV with non-bruised meat (VNB). The plastic bag material was polyethylene and vacuum pack material was nylon/binding layer L.LDPE  $300 \times 250$  mm, 0.07 mm thick. All samples were stored at  $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  (simulating retail conditions at supermarkets in a refrigeration chamber) during 1, 7, 14 and 21 days. The chamber was illuminated by a standard supermarket fluorescent lamp. The samples in the chamber were rotated every 24 h to minimise light intensity differences and possible temperature variations on the surface of the meat.

## pH measurements

The pH of the samples was recorded at days 1, 7, 14 and 21, post-mortem using a portable thermometer and pH meter (Hanna HI 99163, HANNA Instruments®, USA). Before measurements, the probe was calibrated with standard buffer solutions of pH 4 and 7.

## Microbiological counting

Samples were identified and prepared aseptically for microbiological analysis. In order to homogenize the samples, the following method was used: 10 g of meat was homogenized with 90 mL of peptone water (0.1 %), and then the samples were serially diluted tenfold (1 mL of the homogenates in 9 mL of peptone water). For the total plate count, all suitable serial dilutions were plated following the pour plate method. The Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar (VRBGA) was used for the quantification of *Enterobacteriaceae* using the standard plate count (Covenin 1086). Purple colonies and colonies surrounded by a purple area were counted. The results were expressed as U.F.C./g. Lactic acid bacteria were determined with de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar; the pH was adjusted to 5.6 with glacial acetic acid and using double-layer agar incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h.

## Determination of biogenic amines

The stock solutions of biogenic amines (BAs), each containing 10 g/L, were prepared by dissolving 18.24 mg of putrescine dihydrochloride ( $\text{C}_4\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2 \cdot 2\text{HCl}$ ), 17.14 mg of cadaverine dihydrochloride ( $\text{C}_5\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2 \cdot 2\text{HCl}$ ) and 16.57 mg of histamine dihydrochloride ( $\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{N}_3 \cdot 2\text{HCl}$ ) from Sigma-Aldrich® (St. Louis, MO, USA), in 1 mL of 0.1 N HCl solution respectively and stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$ . The solutions at concentrations of 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.1, 6.3, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 mg/L were obtained by suitably diluting the respective stock solutions and injecting three times onto the HPLC. Linear calibration curves, the regression equation and the determination coefficient ( $r^2$ ) were calculated for each biogenic amine. The extraction and derivatization procedures were carried out according to Lázaro et al. (2013). Briefly, meat was extracted with perchloric acid 5 %, neutralized with NaOH ( $\text{pH} > 12$ ) and derivatized with addition of benzoyl chloride (40  $\mu\text{L}$ ). The reaction was stopped with 5 M NaCl, and then, the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen. Finally, the residue was dissolved in 1000  $\mu\text{L}$  of mobile phase (acetonitrile/water) and stored at  $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ .

The samples were analysed with an HPLC system (Hewlett Packard® series 1100) with a column  $\text{C}_{18}$  of reverse phase (ACE Excel Super®, 250 mm  $\times$  4.6 mm and 5  $\mu\text{m}$  of particle size) protected with a pre-column (ACE Excel C18, 4.6  $\times$  20 mm) and a gradient pump which included a G1311A quaternary pump, G1315A diode array detector, G1313A auto sampler, G1322A, vacuum degasser (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara), a Waters UV-Vis detector and a computer including Agilent software. The mobile phase for gradient elution consisted of two solvent systems: solvent A (acetonitrile) and solvent B (Milli-Q water). Gradient elution was carried out as follows: 50 % of solvent A was used by 14 min, and then the percentage of solvent A was increased to 100 % for 7 min and finally returned to 50 % for

4 min. The flow rate was 1 mL/min and the column temperature was 25 °C, and the injection volume onto the column was 20 µL. The eluent was monitored with a UV detector set at 254 nm.

### Statistic analyses

Data were analysed as a factorial design with randomized complete blocks; the general model was

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \alpha_j + T_i * \alpha_j + \beta_3 + e_{ij}$$

where  $Y$  is the mean response in the  $i$ th and  $j$ th factor;  $\mu$  represented the mean response;  $T_i$  is the bruised meat and the non-bruised meat;  $\alpha_j$  is the storage method (plastic bag and plastic bag + vacuum);  $T_i * \alpha_j$  is the interaction of  $T_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ ;  $\beta_3$  is the storage time for 1, 7, 14 and 21 days, which were used as blocks and  $e_{ij}$  represent the error term. The PROC MIXED command implemented in SAS 9.2 was used. The Tukey test was used when statistical differences were detected at  $P \leq 0.05$ . The Pearson correlation test was carried out in pH, concentration of biogenic amines and storage time.

### Results

Shelf life evaluation of the meat samples, stored at 4 °C for 21 days and packed with PB and PBV showed significant differences between packaging systems and bruised vs non-bruised meat for pH, microbiological count and chemical parameters. Table 1 shows the significant increments ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) of pH mean values of meat as a function of storage time and packaging systems. The pH values increased significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) from day 1 to 21 in all treatments (0.21 to 0.6 units) in both non-bruised meat and bruised meat. Table 2 shows the values of the total plate count, *Enterobacteriaceae* and lactic acid bacteria counts. The results indicated that all the carcasses sampled had detectable levels of lactic acid bacteria and *Enterobacteriaceae* ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

Table 3 shows the results of BA concentrations on bruised meat and non-bruised meat in the course of

storage time; it is observed that concentrations of BA increased from day 1 to 21. When BA concentrations were compared between PB with non-bruised meat (PNB) and PBV with non-bruised meat (VNB), the lowest concentrations of putrescine and cadaverine were detected in VNB, mainly from day 1 to 14 while histamine showed low concentrations from day 14 to 21; note that no significant differences ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) were observed at day 14 either VNB or PBV with bruised meat (VWB). The concentration of histamine in both PB with bruised meat (PWB) and PB with non-bruised meat (PNB) was 21 times more than meat into PBV. The putrescine contents in VWB increased significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) 14 and 13 times more than in VNB at days 7 and 14. On the other hand, histamine concentration in PWB increased 17 times more than in PNB at day 7. There were significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) differences in cadaverine content between non-bruised and bruised meat; the later showed an increment of 1 mg/kg of meat. The cadaverine concentration increased more than twice ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) for PNB and VNB at days 7 and 14. An unexpected finding was that putrescine concentrations in VWB were higher than in PWB (18.4 vs 13.5, respectively) from day 1 to 21 and as expected, cadaverine and histamine concentrations increased from day 1 to 21 for both PWB and VWB.

Finally, Table 4 shows the Pearson correlation for all variables. The relationship between pH and BA was influenced by storage time. The pH was negatively correlated ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) with cadaverine indicating that pH increments will limit cadaverine concentration at day 14. On the other hand, pH was positively correlated ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) with both histamine and putrescine at days 1 and 7, respectively, for VNB and VWB. Putrescine was negatively correlated ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) with cadaverine at days 1 and 7 for PNB and cadaverine with histamine for VNB at day 21. On the other hand, positive correlations were observed between histamine and cadaverine for PWB and putrescine with histamine for VNB at day 14, with the strongest correlation ( $r = 0.40$ ;  $P \leq 0.01$ ) observed.



## Discussion

At commercial conditions, the final pH measurement is one of the most important reference values for measuring meat quality. Our results indicated that pH on day 1 in PWB and VWB had a pH >5.7, and the trend were to increase significantly at days 7, 14 and 21 post-mortem.

Our results showed a relationship between bruised meat and high pH values; this finding concurs with McNally and Warriss (1996) who reported that 48 % of bruised carcasses had pH values greater than 5.8. This is consistent with the fact that bruised areas in the meat would also decompose and spoil more rapidly if the bruises are not removed as the bloody areas could promote bacterial

growth. Furthermore, it is hypothesized that stressed or bruised animals would have an abnormally high pH because of glycogen depletion and the subsequent lower production of lactic acid in the muscles of stressed animals (Hoffman et al. 2010a). Correlation analysis supported the finding that BAs (cadaverine, putrescine and histamine) were present simultaneously across all treatments, and these were reliant on the acid pH. The higher pH could also be attributed to the buffer properties of the blood accumulated in the muscle tissue (Quintavalla et al. 2001). The presence of bruises is a reflection of transportation problems and when animals are stressed, glycogen reserves are depleted and higher pH can be obtained (Mpakama et al. 2014). For meat with normal pH, the environment restricts bacterial growth and only the lactic acid bacteria grow to a population capable of causing spoilage. However, if muscle pH is higher, other organisms may grow and cause more rapid spoilage (Vimiso and Muchenje, 2013).

The meat is generally packed with air, under vacuum or in protective atmosphere, and the packaging system can contribute to discriminate the type of microflora and the type of BA found in the product. Under air, *Enterobacteriaceae* become the dominant spoilage bacteria, while under vacuum, the lactic acid bacteria contribute significantly to the meat microflora (Galgano et al. 2009). Our study showed significant differences in BA concentrations between bruised and non-bruised meat, independently of the packaging system used. These differences are mainly attributable to the presence of blood and the damaged tissue and how affected the microbial growth as well as their decarboxylase activity during the storage conditions.

The bruised meat represented an ideal medium for *Enterobacteriaceae* and lactic acid bacterial growth (Hoffman et al. 2010b); therefore, high concentrations of putrescine were related with microbial growth (Bover et al.

2000) and tenderness of meat (Rogers et al. 1993). Our results indicated that low putrescine concentrations were obtained when meat was packed with PBV from day 1 to 21; however, putrescine concentration in PWB and VWB increased 13.5 and 18 times, respectively, from day 1 to 21. In this sense, Kaniou et al. (2001), quantified 3.9 up to 36.3 µg/g of putrescine in beef vacuum packed, from day 1 to 35. Therefore, the results presented in our study and the latter coincide in which; lower putrescine concentrations were detected in meat vacuum packed than in plastic bag only.

Putrescine is toxic at high quantities, the benefits of the existent polyamines in the human diet; nonetheless, it is important to have in mind that polyamines are potential precursors of nitrosamines (compounds with carcinogenic action) that react with nitrate compounds; one of the potential polyamine precursors is the putrescine, since it affects the enzymes that metabolise the BA, and inhibits the monoamine oxidase, diamine oxidase and hydroxymethyltransferase, and as a result, enteric illnesses have been observed in humans (Soufleros et al. 2007). The increment of cadaverine in PWB and VWB was observed between days 7 and 21; the increment was fold compared with non-bruised meat, cadaverine alike putrescine could be related with the amount of *Enterobacteriaceae* that possess decarboxylase of lysine due to that these microorganisms have been reported as a source of cadaverine in meat products coinciding with Eerola et al. (1996) who reported high counting of cadaverine, putrescine and tyramine in fresh meat related with high concentration of *Enterobacteriaceae* and lactic acid bacteria.

The bruised meat, placed in both the plastic bag and vacuum packed, presented increments of 1 mg/kg compared with non-bruised meat. The concentrations of putrescine were higher than of cadaverine; these findings agreed with results reported by Bover et al. (2001); the highest concentrations could be related with high levels of microflora specialized in arginine decarboxylation; hence, it generates high levels of

putrescine. Nevertheless, Halász et al. (1994) stated that cadaverine concentration is higher than putrescine, in beef meat. Alike putrescine, there is no scientific evidence about minimum doses of cadaverine for causing damage in humans. However, it is well known that cadaverine, agmatine and putrescine are non-toxic per se, but they can limit the action of amine oxidase enzymes; hence, they contribute to increase toxicity of histamine and tyramine (Ruiz-Capillas et al. 2010).

The latter highlights the importance of histamine quantification in bruised meat and non-bruised meat stored in either PB or PBV. It was observed that histamine concentration was higher in PWB than in VWB (13.70 mg/kg), up to day 21 (24.22 mg/kg); hence, it was suggested that lack of oxygen is an important issue since it would limit bacterial growth and hence the decarboxylation of amino acids. The presence of histamine in meat is of paramount importance since the intake of food with high levels of this BA has been related with different symptoms in consumers, such as discomfort, nausea, respiratory problems, hot flashes, sweating, palpitations, migraines, itchy eyes, stomach and intestinal problems as well as pseudo-allergic reactions. Histamine is vasoactive and psychoactive, in addition, it is a mediator of allergic illness, and therefore, the consumption of meat with histamine may show the same symptoms of allergic processes, being confused sometimes (Püssa 2013). The histamine concentration observed in our study was under the level that causes damage in human health. Indeed, though the incidence of histamine is worldwide-reported and extensively discussed in scientific works, at present, a specific legislation concerning the maximum concentrations of histamine in food is still lacking (Russo et al., 2010). On one hand, for fish products, there are clear limits for histamine, for example the European Union established as limit 100 mg/kg in fish belonging to the *Scombridae* and *Clupeidae* family, and on the other hand, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) established as limit 50 mg/kg of histamine. It is important to note that there is no maximum levels established for putrescine, cadaverine and histamine in fresh meat, since it must be taken into account that intake of BA is the sum of all biogenic amines present in all foods.

We conclude that bruised meat favoured increments of biogenic amine concentrations, even more than did non-bruised meat. The plastic bag + vacuum system limited the increments of BA concentration during storage time and therefore it improved the shelf life of meat. These results emphasized the importance of implementing best management practices during pre-slaughter operations of cattle in order to aminorate a possible risk factor for bruised carcasses. Our study contributed to support that proper handling of the carcasses is of prime importance, since non-bruised meat showed lower concentrations of biogenic amines, either placed in plastic bag or vacuum packed.

**Acknowledgments** This research was sponsored by PROMEP grants 103.5/13/6528 UAM-PTC-411 of R.G. Cruz –Monterrosa.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interests** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All applicable international, national and/or institutional guidelines for care and use of animals were followed.

## References

- Andrade, E.N.D., Silva, R.A.M.S., Roça, R.D.O., Silva, L.A.C.D., Gonçalves, H.C., Pinheiro, R.S.B. 2008. Ocorrência de lesões em carcaças de bovinos de corte no Pantanal em função do transporte. *Ciência Rural*, 38, 1991-1996.
- Bover, C.S., Izquierdo, P.M., Vidal, C.M.C. 2000. Influence of hygienic quality of raw materials on biogenic amine production during ripening and storage of dry fermented sausages. *Journal of Food Protection*, 63, 1544-1550.
- Bover, C.S., Izquierdo-Pulido, M., Vidal-Carou, M.C. 2001. Changes in biogenic amine and polyamine contents in slightly fermented sausages manufactured with and without sugar. *Meat Science*, 57, 215-221.
- Chandra, B.S., Das, N. 2001. The handling and short-haul transportation of spent buffaloes in relation to bruising and animal welfare. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 33, 155-163.
- Eerola, S., Maijala, R., Roig-Sagués, A.X., Salminen, M., Hirvi, T. 1996. Biogenic amines in dry sausages as affected by starter culture and contaminant amine-positive *Lactobacillus*. *Journal of Food Science*, 61, 1243-1246.
- Favaro, G., Pastore, P., Sacconi, G., Cavalli, S. 2007. Determination of biogenic amines in fresh and processed meat by ion chromatography and integrated pulsed amperometric detection on Au electrode. *Food Chemistry*, 105, 1652-1658.
- Galgano, F., Favati, F., Bonadio, M., Lorusso, V., Romano, P. 2009. Role of biogenic amines as index of freshness in beef meat packed with different biopolymeric materials. *Food Research International*, 42, 1147-1152.
- Halász, A., Baráth, Á., Simon-Sarkadi, L., Holzapfel, W. 1994. Biogenic amines and their production by microorganisms in food. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 5, 42-49.
- Hoffman, L. C., Lühl, J. 2012. Causes of cattle bruising during handling and transport in Namibia. *Meat Science*, 92, 115-124.
- Hoffman, L.C., Britz, T.J., Schnetler, D.C. 2010a. Bruising on ostrich carcasses and the implications on the microbiology and losses in utilizable meat when removing them post-evisceration or post-chilling. *Meat Science*, 86, 398-404.
- Hoffman, L.C., Britz, T.J., Schnetler, D.C. 2010b. Prevalent organisms on ostrich carcasses found in a commercial abattoir. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 81, 151-155.
- Huertas, S.M., Eerdenburg, F., Gil, A., Piaggio, J. 2015. Prevalence of carcass bruises as an indicator of welfare in beef cattle and the relation to the economic impact. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 1, 9-15.
- Kaniou, I., Samouris, G., Mouratidou, T., Eleftheriadou, A., Zantopoulos, N. 2001. Determination of biogenic amines in fresh unpacked and vacuum-packed beef during storage at 4 °C. *Food Chemistry*, 74, 515-519.
- Lázaro, C.A., Conte J.C.A., Cunha, F.L., Ársico, E.T., Mano, S.B., Franco, R.M. 2013. Validation of an HPLC methodology for the identification and quantification of biogenic amines in chicken meat. *Food Analytical Methods*, 6, 1-9.

- Li, M., Tian, L., Zhao, G., Zhang, O., Gao, X., Huang, X., Sun, L. 2014. Formation of biogenic amines and growth of spoilage-related microorganisms in pork stored under different packaging conditions applying PCA. *Meat Science*, 96, 843–848.
- Lorenzo, J.M., Martínez, S., Franco, I., Carballo, J. 2007. Biogenic amine content during the manufacture of dry-cured *lacón*, a Spanish traditional meat product: Effect of some additives. *Meat Science*, 77, 287–93.
- Jibat, T., Ejeta, G., Asfaw, Y., Wudie, A. 2008. Causes of abattoir condemnation in apparently healthy slaughtered sheep and goats at HELMEX abattoir, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*, 159, 305–311.
- McNally, P.W., Warriss, P.D. 1996. Recent bruising in cattle at abattoirs. *Veterinary Record*, 138, 126–128.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Leyva, I. G., Barreras-Serrano, A., Pérez-Linares, C., Sánchez-López, E., María, G.A., Figueroa-Saavedra, F. 2012. Assessment of cattle welfare at a commercial slaughter plant in the northwest of Mexico. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 44, 497–504.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Villarroel, M., Maria, G.A. 2014. Livestock transport from the perspective of the pre-slaughter logistic chain: A review. *Meat Science*, 98, 9–20.
- Mpakama, T., Chulayo, A.Y., Muchenje, V. 2014. Bruising in slaughter cattle and its relationship with creatine kinase levels and beef quality as affected by animal related factors. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*, 27, 717–725.
- Naila, A., Flint, S., Fletcher, G., Bremer, P., Meerdink, G. 2010. Control of biogenic amines in food—existing and emerging approaches. *Journal of Food Science*, 75, 139–150.
- Püssa, T. 2013. Toxicological issues associated with production and processing of meat. *Meat Science*, 95, 844–853.
- Quintavalla, F., Bigliardi, E., Bertoni, P. 2001. Blood biochemical baseline values in the ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). *Annali della Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria*, 21, 61–71.
- Regassa, A., Moje, N., Megersa, B., Beyene, D., Sheferaw, D., Debela, E., Abunna, F., Skjerve, E., 2013. Major causes of organs and carcass condemnation in small ruminants slaughtered at Luna Export Abattoir, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 110, 139–148.
- Romero, M.H., Uribe-Velásquez, L.F., Sánchez, J.A., Miranda-de la Lama, G.C. (2013). Risk factors influencing bruising and high muscle pH in Colombian cattle carcasses due to transport and pre-slaughter operations. *Meat Science*, 95, 2256–2263.
- Rogers, S.A., Hollywood, N.W., Mitchell, G.E. 1992. The microbiological and technological properties of bruised beef. *Meat Science*, 32, 437–447.
- Rogers, S.A., Tan, L.T., Bicanic, J.A., Mitchell, G.E. 1993. The effect of bruised beef addition on the quality of processed meat products. *Meat Science*, 33, 51–9.
- Russo, P., Spano, G., Arena, M.P., Capozzi, V., Fiocco, D., Grieco, F., Beneduce, L. 2010. Are consumers aware of the risks related to Biogenic Amines in food? Current Research, Technology and Educative Topics in Applied Microbiology and Microbial Biotechnology, 2, 1087–95.
- Ruiz-Capillas C., Herrero AM., Jiménez-Colmenero F. 2010. Reduction of biogenic amines levels in meat and meat products. In: *Natural Antimicrobials in Food quality and Food Safety*. Eds: Rai, M. and Chikindas, M.L. CAB International, UK. 15–55.
- Soufleros, E.H., Bouloumpasi, E., Zotou, A., Loukou, Z. 2007. Determination of biogenic amines in Greek wines by HPLC and ultraviolet detection after dansylation and examination of factors affecting their presence and concentration. *Food Chemistry*, 101, 704–716.
- Strappini, A.C., Metz, J.H.M., Gallo, C.B., Kemp, B. 2009. Origin and assessment of bruises in beef cattle at slaughter. *Animal*, 3, 728–736.
- Strappini, A.C., Frankena, K., Metz, J.H.M., Gallo, B., Kemp, B. 2010. Prevalence and risk factors for bruises in Chilean bovine carcasses. *Meat Science*, 86, 859–864.
- Vimiso, P., Muchenje, V. 2013. A survey on the effect of transport method on bruises, pH and colour of meat from cattle slaughtered at a South African commercial abattoir. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 43, 105–111.